

Resonances associated to a closed hyperbolic trajectory in dimension 2.

Johannes Sjöstrand*

Abstract. We consider resonances in the semi-classical limit ($h \rightarrow 0$), generated by a single closed hyperbolic orbit, for an operator on $\mathbf{R}^2$. We determine all such resonances in an h -independent domain. As an application we determine all resonances generated by a saddle point in a fixed disc around the critical energy.

Résumé. Nous considérons les résonances engendrées par une trajectoire fermée hyperbolique pour un opérateur sur $\mathbf{R}^2$ dans la limite semi-classique ($h \rightarrow 0$). Nous déterminons toutes les résonances dans un domaine indépendant de h . Comme une application nous obtenons toutes les résonances engendrées par un point selle, dans un disque fixé autour de l'énergie critique.

0. Introduction.

This paper is a continuation of [MeSj], where we made the observation that for a large class of non-selfadjoint semiclassical operators in dimension 2, one can describe the whole spectrum in some h -independent domain in the complex plane. In that paper we also showed how to determine (in 2 dimensions) all resonances (scattering poles) for $-h^2\Delta + V(x)$ generated by a saddle point of the potential with critical value E_0 , in a disc of fixed radius around E_0 , with small sectors around $E_0 +]0, +\infty[$ and $E_0 - i]0, \infty[$ removed. That is a partial improvement of the results of Kaidi-Kerdelhué [KaKe], whose result in this case gives all resonances in a disc $D(E_0, h^\delta)$ of center E_0 and radius h^δ . Here $\delta > 0$ can be any fixed constant and $h > 0$ is small enough depending on δ .

In [GeSj] we studied the resonances generated by a closed hyperbolic trajectory at energy $E_1 \in \mathbf{R}$ and determined all such resonances in a rectangle $]E_1 - \epsilon_0, E_1 + \epsilon_0[-i]0, Ch[$, where $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small and $C > 0$ is an arbitrarily large constant. It has long been believed that in analogy with [KaKe], who used quantum Birkhoff normal forms (qBnf) to improve the result of [Sj] (who got the resonances in a disc of radius Ch), the result of [GeSj] should have an improvement giving all resonances in $]E_1 - \epsilon_0, E_1 + \epsilon_0[-i]0, h^\delta[$ for every fixed $\delta > 0$. Either one should apply qBnf directly, or one should apply the qBnf for Fourier integral operators by [Ia] to the quantum monodromy operator ([SjZw]). (See also [IaSj].) In this paper, we restrict the attention to the 2-dimensional case and show how to adapt the result of [MeSj] to get all resonances in $]E_1 - \epsilon_0, E_1 + \epsilon_0[-i[h^\delta, \epsilon_1[$ for $\epsilon_1 > 0$ sufficiently small and with δ equal to any fixed constant in $]0, 1[$. We also develop the necessary qBnf near the closed trajectory, to get all resonances in $]E_1 - \epsilon_0, E_1 + \epsilon_0[-i]0, h^\delta[$. (Presumably the latter result could be extended to all dimensions if we impose the appropriate non-resonance condition.) Thus we get all resonances in a small but h -independent rectangle $]E_1 - \epsilon_0, E_1 + \epsilon_0[-i]0, \epsilon_1[$. See Theorem 2.3.

* Centre de Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechnique, FR-91128 Palaiseau cedex, and URM 7640 de CNRS

Key words: resonance, scattering pole, hyperbolic, two.

MSC2000: 32A99, 35P20, 35S99, 81U99, 37Dxx

In section 3 we reexamine the resonances generated by a saddle point and improve the result from [MeSj] by treating the "missing sectors". Thus we get all resonances in a fixed disc around the critical value.

As in [MeSj] and in earlier works on resonances ([HeSj], [Sj2]) a basic ingredient in the proofs is the use of FBI-transforms and corresponding weighted spaces of holomorphic functions (in the spirit of [Sj3]). This has now become a routine and in order to avoid an excessive length of the paper we have chosen not to review that material here. This also concerns the setup of the global Grushin problem which is essentially identical to that of [MeSj]. See also the first of a series of preprints in preparation with M. Hitrik.

1. Normal forms.

We place ourselves in the general frame work of [HeSj] and let

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x; h) (hD_x)^\alpha, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \quad (1.1)$$

be a semiclassical formally selfadjoint differential operator satisfying the general assumptions of [HeSj] which allow to define the resonances in some fixed neighborhood of $E_0 \in \mathbf{R}$.

We will also assume that

$$x \mapsto a_\alpha(x; h) \text{ extend holomorphically to a neighborhood of } \mathbf{R}^n \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$a_\alpha(x; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{\alpha,j}(x) h^j \quad (1.3)$$

uniformly for x in any compact subset of the neighborhood in (1.2). The semiclassical principal symbol of P is then given by

$$p(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha,0}(x) \xi^\alpha. \quad (1.4)$$

The standard example we have in mind is

$$P = -h^2 \Delta + V(x), \quad (1.5)$$

where $V \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R})$, and V extends to a holomorphic function in a set $|\operatorname{Im} x| < C^{-1} \langle x \rangle$, with $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$, and tends to 0 when $x \rightarrow \infty$ in that set. Then $p(x, \xi) = \xi^2 + V(x)$.

Recall from [GeSj] that the trapped set $K(E)$, for $E \in \operatorname{neigh}(E_0, \mathbf{R})$ is

$$K(E) = \{\rho \in p^{-1}(E); \exp t H_p(\rho) \not\rightarrow \infty, t \rightarrow \pm \infty\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here $H_p = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ is the Hamilton field of $p(x, \xi)$. We also recall that $K(E)$ is contained in some fixed compact set when E varies in a neighborhood of E_0 .

We assume:

$K(E_0)$ is (the image of) a simple closed H_p -trajectory $\gamma(E_0)$ of period $T(E_0)$. (1.7)

$\gamma(E_0)$ is of hyperbolic type. (1.8)

Recall that (1.8) means that the linearized Poincaré map has the eigenvalues $\lambda(E_0)$, $1/\lambda(E_0)$ with $\lambda(E_0) \in \mathbf{R}$, $|\lambda(E_0)| > 1$. In particular, the Poincaré map is non-degenerate (1 is not an eigenvalue), so for $E \in \text{neigh}(E_0, \mathbf{R})$, we have a closed H_p -trajectory $\gamma(E)$ close to $\gamma(E_0)$ which depends analytically on E . For some small fixed $\epsilon_0 > 0$, let

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{|E-E_0| < \epsilon_0} \gamma(E). \quad (1.9)$$

Then Γ is a symplectic manifold of dimension 2. Let $\Gamma_+(E), \Gamma_-(E) \subset p^{-1}(E)$ be the unstable (outgoing) and stable (incoming) manifolds for the H_p -flow. We know that they are hypersurfaces in $p^{-1}(E)$ which intersect transversally along $\gamma(E)$. They are also Lagrangian manifolds, and

$$\Gamma_{\pm} := \bigcup_{|E-E_0| < \epsilon_0} \Gamma_{\pm}(E)$$

are involutive manifolds and can be viewed as the outgoing (+) and incoming (−) manifolds for the H_p -flow in $p^{-1}(]E_0 - \epsilon_0, E_0 + \epsilon_0[)$. They intersect transversally along Γ .

If Γ_+ is orientable ($\lambda_+(E_0) > 1$), then so is Γ_- and we can find an analytic real-valued function ξ in a neighborhood of Γ with

$$\xi = 0, \quad d\xi \neq 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_+. \quad (1.10)$$

Define the function x in a neigh of Γ to be the solution of

$$H_{\xi}x = 1, \quad x|_{\Gamma_-} = 0. \quad (1.11)$$

If Γ_+ is not orientable ($\lambda_+(E_0) < -1$), we can still define ξ satisfying (1.10), no more single-valued but double-valued, with the property that $\text{ext}(\xi) = -\xi$, where $\text{ext}(\xi)$ denotes the extension of ξ obtained making one tour in a neighborhood of $\gamma(E_0)$ in the forward direction. We then define x by (1.11) and observe that $\text{ext}(x) = -x$. Notice that $x\xi$ is a single-valued function.

Choose symplectic coordinates (t, τ) on Γ with t multivalued:

$$\text{ext}(t) = t + 2\pi, \quad (1.12)$$

and $\tau = \tau(E)$ depending only on $p(\rho) = E$. We may assume that $\tau(E_0) = 0$. Extend (t, τ) to a neighborhood of Γ , by solving

$$H_x t = H_\xi t = 0, \quad H_x \tau = H_\xi \tau = 0. \quad (1.13)$$

This is possible since $[H_x, H_\xi] = H_{\{x, \xi\}} = 0$ (where $\{x, \xi\} = H_x \xi$ is the Poisson bracket) and H_x, H_ξ span a plane transversal to Γ at each point of Γ . τ will be constant on each $\Gamma_\pm(E)$.

Then $(t, \tau; x, \xi)$ are symplectic coordinates and since H_p is tangential to $\Gamma_\pm(E)$, we see that

$$\partial_t p(t, \tau, x, 0) = \partial_t p(t, \tau, 0, \xi) = 0, \quad \partial_x p(t, \tau, x, 0) = 0, \quad \partial_\xi p(t, \tau, 0, \xi) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$p(t, \tau, x, 0) = p(t, \tau, 0, \xi) = f(\tau),$$

so that

$$p(t, \tau, x, \xi) = f(\tau) + \tilde{\mu}(t, \tau, x, \xi)x\xi,$$

which gives

$$p(t, \tau, x, \xi) = f(\tau) + \mu(t, \tau)x\xi + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^3). \quad (1.14)$$

Let $G = \lambda(t, \tau)x\xi$. Then in the sense of formal Taylor expansions in x, ξ , we get

$$p \circ \exp H_G = \sum \frac{1}{k!} H_G^k p = p + H_G p + \frac{1}{2} H_G^2 p + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^3).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} H_G &= x\xi((\partial_\tau \lambda)\partial_t - (\partial_t \lambda)\partial_\tau) + \lambda(t, \tau)(x\partial_x - \xi\partial_\xi), \\ H_G p &= -(\partial_t \lambda)f'(\tau)x\xi + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^3), \\ H_G^2 p &= \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^3). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$p \circ \exp H_G = f(\tau) + (\mu(t, \tau) - f'(\tau)\partial_t \lambda(t, \tau))x\xi + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^3).$$

Choosing λ suitably, we get

$$\mu(t, \tau) - f'(\tau)\partial_t \lambda(t, \tau) = \langle \mu(\cdot, \tau) \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mu(t, \tau) dt.$$

Replacing p by $p \circ \exp H_G$ (which amounts to expressing p in new symplectic coordinates), we may assume that

$$p(t, \tau, x, \xi) = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau)x\xi + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^3). \quad (1.15)$$

We have

$$f'(\tau) = 2\pi/T(f(\tau)), \quad (1.16)$$

where $T(E)$ is the period of $\gamma(E)$. In the orientable case, the linearized Poincaré map at energy E has the eigenvalues $\lambda(E)$, $1/\lambda(E)$, with $\lambda(E) > 1$ given by

$$|\lambda(E)| = e^{T(E)\mu(\tau)}, \quad f(\tau) = E. \quad (1.17)$$

The same relation holds for $\lambda(E) < -1$ in the non-orientable case.

We next improve (1.15) to arbitrarily high order in (x, ξ) . Write

$$p = p_0 + p_2 + p_3 + \dots, \quad (1.18)$$

where p_j is homogeneous of degree j in (x, ξ) , $p_0 = f(\tau)$, $p_2 = \mu(\tau)x\xi$. Let $G_j = G_j(t, \tau, x, \xi)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $j \geq 3$ in (x, ξ) with analytic coefficients, and consider $p \circ \exp H_{G_j} = \sum_0^\infty \frac{1}{k!} H_{G_j}^k p$. Here,

$$H_{G_j} p = -(f'(\tau)\partial_t + \mu(\tau)(x\partial_x - \xi\partial_\xi))G_j + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{j+1}), \quad (1.19)$$

where the first term is homogeneous of degree j in (x, ξ) , and

$$H_{G_j}^k p = \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{j+(k-1)(j-2)}),$$

for $k \geq 2$. Consequently,

$$p \circ \exp H_{G_j} = p - (f'(\tau)\partial_t + \mu(\tau)(x\partial_x - \xi\partial_\xi))G_j + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{j+1}).$$

In the orientable case, the equation

$$(f'(\tau)\partial_t + \mu(\tau)(x\partial_x - \xi\partial_\xi))u(t, \tau)x^\alpha\xi^\beta = v(t, \tau)x^\alpha\xi^\beta, \quad t \in S^1,$$

reduces to

$$(f'(\tau)\partial_t + \mu(\tau)(\alpha - \beta))u(t, \tau) = v(t, \tau),$$

and has a unique solution u for any given (smooth) v when $\alpha \neq \beta$. If $\alpha = \beta$, we have a solution which is unique up to a τ -dependent constant, provided that we replace $v(t, \tau)$ by $v(t, \tau) - \langle v(\cdot, \tau) \rangle$.

It follows in the orientable case, that if $v(t, \tau, x, \xi)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree j in x, ξ with analytic coefficients depending on $(t, \tau) \in S^1 \times]-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0[$, then we can find $u(t, \tau, x, \xi)$ of the same type such that

$$(f'(\tau)\partial_t + \mu(\tau)(x\partial_x - \xi\partial_\xi))u(t, \tau, x, \xi) = v(t, \tau, x, \xi) - [v](\tau, x, \xi), \quad (1.20)$$

where

$$[v](\tau, x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle v_{\alpha, \alpha}(\cdot, \tau) \rangle (x\xi)^\alpha, \quad v = \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=j} v_{\alpha, \beta}(t, \tau)x^\alpha\xi^\beta.$$

In the non-orientable case, x, ξ are anti-periodic in t , so the general form of a function of $(t, \tau) \in S^1 \times]-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0[$ with values in the space of j -homogeneous polynomials in (x, ξ) is

$$v(t, \tau, x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=j} e^{i(\alpha-\beta)t/2} v_{\alpha, \beta}(t, \tau)x^\alpha\xi^\beta. \quad (1.21)$$

Using that $\mu(\tau) > 0$ is real, we get the same result as in the orientable case for the solvability of (1.20).

In both cases, we combine the solvability of (1.20) with (1.19) and see that there is a sequence of $G_3, G_4, \dots$ as above, so that at the level of formal Taylor series in (x, ξ) :

$$p \circ \exp H_{G_3} \circ \exp H_{G_4} \circ \dots = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau)x\xi + q(\tau, x\xi), \quad (1.22)$$

where

$$q(\tau, x\xi) = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{\infty} q_{\alpha}(\tau)(x\xi)^{\alpha} \quad (1.23)$$

is resonant in the sense that it is a function of τ and $x\xi$ only.

Since we do not wish to consider convergence questions here (even though the Birkhoff series are likely to converge in the present 2-dimensional case), we stop at some high but finite order and write

$$p \circ \kappa_N = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau)x\xi + q^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi) + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{N+1}), \quad (1.24)$$

where

$$q^{(N)}(\tau, x, \xi) = \sum_{4 \leq 2\alpha \leq N} q_{\alpha}(\tau)(x\xi)^{\alpha}, \quad \kappa_N = \exp H_{G_3} \circ \dots \circ \exp H_{G_N}. \quad (1.25)$$

Later, we shall review how to reduce the original operator (1.1) to an h -pseudodifferential operator P with the new principal symbol

$$p = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau)x\xi + q^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi) + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{N+1}). \quad (1.26)$$

We recall how the lower order symbols in P can be simplified by conjugation with elliptic pseudodifferential operators:

Write

$$P(t, \tau, x, \xi; h) = p(t, \tau, x, \xi) + hp_1(t, \tau, x, \xi) + \dots, \quad (1.27)$$

where in general, we identify symbols with their h -Weyl quantizations. If $A(t, hD_t, x, hD_x; h)$ is an h -pseudodifferential operator of order $-m \leq 0$ in h and with symbol $A = h^m a_m + h^{m+1} a_{m+1} + \dots$, with $a_j(t, \tau, x, \xi)$ smooth in some fixed domain, we use that on the operator level

$$e^{-iA} P e^{iA} = e^{-i\text{ad}_A} P = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (-i\text{ad}_A)^k P, \quad (1.28)$$

to see that $e^{-iA} P e^{iA} - P$ is of order $-(m+1)$ and has the leading symbol

$$h^{m+1} \{p, a_m\}. \quad (1.29)$$

If we first let $m = 0$, we can repeat the discussion above for the G_j , and see that we can choose $a_0 = a_0^{(N)}$, so that

$$\{p, a_0^{(N)}\} - p_1 = b^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi) + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{N+1}). \quad (1.30)$$

Proceeding similarly with the lower order symbols, we see that we can find $A = A^{(N)}$ with symbol $a_0^{(N)} + ha_1^{(N)} + \dots + h^{N-1}a_{N-1}^{(N)}$, such that

$$e^{-iA}Pe^{iA} = P^{(N)} + R_{N+1} \quad (1.31)$$

where $P^{(N)}$ has the symbol $P^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi; h)$ and $R_{N+1}(t, \tau, x, \xi) = \mathcal{O}((h, x, \xi)^{N+1})$. Moreover,

$$P^{(N+1)}(\tau, x\xi; h) - P^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi; h) = \mathcal{O}((h, x, \xi)^{N+1}). \quad (1.32)$$

Summing up the discussion so far, we have

Proposition 1.1. *Make the assumptions above, in particular that P has analytic coefficients and satisfies (1.7), (1.8).*

*There exists an analytic canonical transformation $\kappa : \text{neigh}(\{\tau = x = \xi = 0\}, T^*S^1 \times \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \text{neigh}(\gamma(E_0), T^*\mathbf{R}^2)$, single-valued in the orientable case and otherwise double-valued with $\kappa(t - 2\pi, x, \xi) = \kappa(t, -x, -\xi)$, such that*

$$p \circ \kappa = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau)x\xi + q^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi) + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^{N+1}), \quad (1.33)$$

with $q^{(N)}$ as in (1.25), and $\tau = x = \xi = 0$ corresponding to $\gamma(E_0)$. Here $N \geq 1$ is any fixed integer.

Let $S = \int_{\gamma(E_0)} \xi dx$ be the action of $\gamma(E_0)$. Let $L_S^2(S^1 \times \mathbf{R})$ be the space of locally square integrable functions $u(t, x)$ on $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ with

$$\|u\|^2 := \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty |u(t, x)|^2 dt dx < \infty,$$

$u(t - 2\pi, x) = e^{iS/h}u(t, x)$ in the orientable case, $u(t - 2\pi, x) = e^{iS/h}u(t, -x)$ in the non-orientable case. Then there exists an analytic unitary Fourier integral operator $U : L_S^2(S^1 \times \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ associated to κ , and microlocally defined near $\tau = x = \xi = 0$ in $T^(S^1 \times \mathbf{R})$ such that (microlocally):*

$$PU = U(P^{(N)} + R_{N+1}), \quad (1.34)$$

where $P^{(N)}, R_{N+1}$ have the Weyl symbols $P^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi; h) \sim \sum p_j^{(N)}(\tau, x\xi)h^j$, $R_{N+1}(t, \tau, x, \xi; h) = \mathcal{O}((h, x, \xi)^{N+1})$, satisfying (1.32).

Here (as in [MeSj]), we define our Fourier integral operator U on the FBI-Bargman transform side.

2. Resonances.

It follows from [GeSj] (see also [Sj2]) that we can find a smooth function $G(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^4; \mathbf{R})$ which is an escape function in the sense of [HeSj] and satisfies:

$$H_p G > 0 \text{ in } p^{-1}([E_0 - \epsilon_0, E_0 + \epsilon_0]) \setminus \Gamma, \quad (2.1)$$

$$H_p G \sim \text{dist}((x, \xi), \Gamma)^2, \text{ near } \Gamma \text{ in } p^{-1}([E_0 - \epsilon_0, E_0 + \epsilon_0]). \quad (2.2)$$

In the coordinates (t, τ, x, ξ) , where p is reduced to the right hand side of (1.24), we may even assume that

$$G(t, \tau, x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - \xi^2). \quad (2.3)$$

Recall from the [HeSj]-theory that we have an associated IR-manifold $\Lambda_\delta \subset \mathbf{C}^4$, defined by

$$\Lambda_\delta = \{(x, \xi) = \exp(i\delta H_G)(y, \eta); (y, \eta) \in \mathbf{R}^4\},$$

for $0 < \delta \ll 1$. (Strictly speaking, the above representation of Λ_δ is valid only where G is analytic and with G denoting also the holomorphic extension. Elsewhere, we let G denote a suitable almost holomorphic extension and take $(x, \xi) = \exp(\delta H_{\text{Re } G}^{\text{Im } \sigma})(y, \eta)$, where $H_{\text{Re } G}^{\text{Im } \sigma}$ denotes the Hamilton field of $\text{Re } G$ with respect to the real symplectic form $\text{Im } \sigma$.) Using (2.3) we see that in the special coordinates used there, Λ_δ is given by:

$$t, \tau \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \begin{cases} x = (\cos \delta)y - i(\sin \delta)\eta \\ \xi = -i(\sin \delta)y + (\cos \delta)\eta \end{cases}, \quad y, \eta \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

This can be written,

$$t, \tau \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \xi = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_\delta(x)}{\partial x}, \quad \Phi_\delta(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\cot \delta)(\text{Im } x)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\tan \delta)(\text{Re } x)^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Notice that $\Phi_{\pi/4}(x) = \frac{1}{2}|x|^2$ and that the corresponding IR-manifold is given by $t, \tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $\xi = -i\bar{x}$. Following an argument from [KaKe], we look for a new IR-manifold Λ which coincides with $\Lambda_{\pi/4} = \Lambda_{\Phi_{\pi/4}}$ near γ_{E_0} and with Λ_δ outside a small neighborhood of γ_{E_0} . First we notice that if $q_1(x), q_2(x)$ are strictly convex quadratic forms on $\mathbf{R}^2$, then we can find a smooth strictly convex function $\phi(x)$, with $\phi(x) = q_1(x)$ near 0 and with $\phi(x) = q_2(x)$ outside V , where V is any given neighborhood of 0. Apply this with $q_1(x) = \Phi_{\pi/4}(x)$, $q_2(x) = \Phi_\delta(x)$, then replace $\phi(x)$ by $\alpha^2 \phi(x/\alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \ll 1$, in order to decrease the neighborhood of 0, where $\phi \neq \Phi_\delta$ even further while keeping ϕ bounded in C^2 . Using the strict convexity of $\phi(x)$ and the fact that $\phi(0) = 0$ is global minimum, we see that

$$-\text{Im} \left(x \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) = \text{Re} \left(2x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) = \langle x, \nabla \phi \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^2} \sim |x|^2, \quad (2.6)$$

uniformly in α but not in δ . Combining this with (1.15), we see that for $x \in \mathbf{C}$, $|x| < 1/\mathcal{O}(1)$ independently of α, δ :

$$\text{Im } p(t, \tau, x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}) \sim -x^2, \quad (2.7)$$

$$|\operatorname{Re} p(t, \tau, x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}) - E_0| \geq \frac{1}{C} |\tau - \tau_0| - C|x|^2, \quad t, \tau \text{ real}, \quad (2.8)$$

where τ_0 is the value with $f(\tau_0) = E_0$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\tau_0 = 0$.

As a first attempt to modification of Λ_δ , we consider $\{(t, \tau, x, \xi); t, \tau \in \mathbf{R}, \xi = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}\}$, but we need the modification to coincide with Λ_δ , not only for $|(x, \xi)|$ outside a neighborhood of 0, but also for $|\tau|$ away from 0. In order to do so, we change the representation of Λ_δ in accordance with the application of the Bargman transform,

$$u(t) \mapsto h^{-3/4} \int e^{-(t-s)^2/2h} u(s) ds, \quad (2.9)$$

whose corresponding canonical transformation sends $S_t^1 \times \mathbf{R}_\tau$ into $\{(t, \tau) \in (S^1 + i\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{C}; \tau = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Im} t)^2) (= -\operatorname{Im} t)\}$. Since (2.9) is a convolution operator, $f(hD_t)$ keeps the same shape after the transformation, and so does the principal symbol p in (1.15).

After applying the canonical transformation associated to the operator (2.9), Λ_δ becomes

$$(\tau, \xi) = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial(t, x)} \tilde{\Phi}_\delta(t, x), \quad \tilde{\Phi}_\delta(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Im} t)^2 + \Phi_\delta(x), \quad (2.10)$$

and the symbol p is still of the form (1.24). Let $\delta > 0$ be small but fixed, let $\chi(\operatorname{Im} t)$ be a standard cutoff around $\operatorname{Im} t = 0$ and consider the "intermediate" weight

$$\tilde{\Phi}(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Im} t)^2 + \chi(\operatorname{Im} t)\phi(x) + (1 - \chi(\operatorname{Im} t))\Phi_\delta(x), \quad (2.11)$$

which satisfies

$$\tilde{\Phi}(t, x) = \tilde{\Phi}_\delta(t, x), \quad \text{for } |\operatorname{Im} t| + |x| \geq \operatorname{Const.}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}(t, x) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\pi/4}(t, x), \quad \text{for } |\operatorname{Im} t| + |x| \leq \operatorname{const.} \quad (2.13)$$

Notice that the mixed derivative $\nabla_t \nabla_x \tilde{\Phi}(t, x)$ has its support in $|x| = \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ and is therefore $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$. Consequently $\tilde{\Phi}$ is strictly convex as a function of $\operatorname{Im} t, x$ and in particular strictly plurisubharmonic.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial x} &= \chi(\operatorname{Im} t) \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + (1 - \chi(\operatorname{Im} t)) \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_\delta(x)}{\partial x}, \\ \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial t} &= -\operatorname{Im} t - (\phi(x) - \Phi_\delta(x))\chi'(\operatorname{Im} t). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last expression is real, so in view of the properties of ϕ, Φ_δ , and the fact that

$$p = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau)x\xi + \mathcal{O}((x, \xi)^4), \quad (2.14)$$

we get with $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}}$: $\xi = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial x}, \tau = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial t}$:

$$\operatorname{Im} p|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}}} = \operatorname{Im} p(t, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial t}, x, \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Phi}}{\partial x}) \sim -|x|^2, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} p|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}}} = f(-\operatorname{Im} t) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2). \quad (2.16)$$

In particular, if we assume that $\gamma(E_0)$ corresponds to $\tau = 0$, so that $f(0) = E_0$, then

$$|(p - E_0)|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}}} \sim |\operatorname{Im} t| + |x|^2,$$

so the study of the spectrum of p near 0 depends essentially only on the behaviour of our operator in a neighborhood of $\tau = 0$, $x = \xi = 0$, where $\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}} = \Lambda_{\Phi_{\pi/4}}$.

In such a neighborhood we identify $\Lambda_{\Phi_{\pi/4}}$ linearly and symplectically with $T^*(S_t^1 \times \mathbf{R}_y)$ (actually T^*M in the non-orientable case, where M is defined after Proposition 2.1) in such a way that $p|_{\Lambda_{\Phi_{\pi/4}}}$ becomes

$$p = f(\tau) + \mu(\tau) \frac{1}{2i} (y^2 + \eta^2) + q^{(N)}(\tau, \frac{1}{2i} (y^2 + \eta^2)) + \mathcal{O}((y, \eta)^{N+1}), \quad (2.17)$$

$$q^{(N)} = \sum_{4 \leq 2\alpha \leq N} q_{\alpha(\tau)} \left(\frac{1}{2i} (y^2 + \eta^2) \right)^{\alpha}.$$

More explicitly, on $\Lambda_{\Phi_{\pi/4}}$ we have $\xi = -i\bar{x}$, $d\xi \wedge dx = -id\bar{x} \wedge dx$ and we can take $\eta = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Re} x$, $y = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} x$. From now on, we write x, ξ instead of y, η for the new coordinates. This identification can be quantized by means of a metaplectic FBI transform, so applying (1.31) (rather than redoing the arguments leading to that equation) we may assume after conjugating with an elliptic h -pseudodifferential operator, that we have

$$P(t, \tau, x, \xi; h) = P^{(N)}(\tau, \frac{1}{2i} (x^2 + \xi^2); h) + R_{N+1}, \quad (2.18)$$

where $R_{N+1} = \mathcal{O}((h, x, \xi)^{N+1})$ and changing the lower order terms here, that on the operator level:

$$P^w(t, hD_t, x, hD_x; h) = P^{(N)}(hD_t, \frac{1}{2i} (x^2 + (hD_x)^2); h) + R_{N+1}(t, hD_t, x, hD_x; h). \quad (2.19)$$

Here the obvious analogues of (1.32) hold and the h -principal symbol of P is equal to p in (2.17).

Summing up the discussion so far, we have

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough. We can find a closed IR-manifold Λ which coincides with Λ_{δ} outside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of $\gamma(E_0)$ and contains $\gamma(E)$ for $E \in \operatorname{neigh}(E_0, \mathbf{R})$, such that*

- 1) $(p - E)|_{\Lambda} \neq 0$ on $\Lambda \setminus \gamma(E)$, $E \in \operatorname{neigh}(E_0, \mathbf{R})$.
- 2) In the coordinates (t, τ, x, ξ) of Proposition 1.1, and after applying the canonical transformation associated to (2.9), so that T^*S^1 becomes $\{(t, \tau); t \in S^1 + i\mathbf{R}, \tau \in \mathbf{C}, \tau = -\operatorname{Im} t\}$, Λ_{δ} takes the form (2.10) and Λ becomes $\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}}$, where $\tilde{\Phi}(t, x)$ is strictly plurisubharmonic, satisfying (2.12, 13) and

$$|(p - E)|_{\Lambda_{\tilde{\Phi}}} \sim |\operatorname{Im} t - f^{-1}(E)| + |x|^2, \quad E \in \operatorname{neigh}(E_0, \mathbf{R}).$$

3) Let T be the operator (2.9), and let $H_{\Phi,S}^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, be the space of holomorphic functions $u(t, x)$ on Ω equipped with the seminorms

$$\|u\|_{H_{\Phi,S}^{\text{loc}}(K)}^2 = \int_K |u(t, x)|^2 e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}(t,x)/h} L(dtdx)$$

with the Floquet condition $u(t-2\pi, x) = e^{iS/h} u(t, \pm x)$, with $+$ in the orientable case and $-$ otherwise. Then with U as in Proposition 1.1, $\tilde{P} := (T \otimes 1) \circ U^{-1} \circ P \circ U \circ (T^{-1} \otimes 1)$ is a well-defined h -pseudodifferential operator acting on $H_{\Phi,S}^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, of the form

$$\tilde{P} = P^{(N)}(hD_t, \frac{1}{2}(xhD_x + hD_x x); h) + R_{N+1}, \quad (2.20)$$

with $P^{(N)}$, R_{N+1} as above.

4) In the region where $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi_{\pi/4}$, we can identify $\tilde{P}$ with $P^{(N)}(hD_t, \frac{1}{2i}(x^2 + (hD_x)^2); h) + \tilde{R}_{N+1}$ acting on $L_{S,\text{loc}}^2(S^1 \times \mathbf{R})$.

For future use, we introduce some geometrical objects that we have already (implicitly) encountered, and make a very short review of how they fit to the definition of resonances in the [HeSj]-theory. Let $M = S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$ in the orientable case and in the non-orientable case, let $M = \mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}_x / \sim$, where $(t, x) \sim (t - 2\pi, -x)$. In both cases, we use (t, τ, x, ξ) as local coordinates on T^*M . Then in Proposition 1.1, we have $\kappa : \text{neigh}(\tau = x = \xi = 0, T^*M) \rightarrow \text{neigh}(\gamma(E_0), T^*\mathbf{R}^2)$. The Weyl symbol of $U^{-1}PU$ in (1.34) is defined on T^*M . A natural complexification $\widetilde{M}$ of M is given by $(S^1 + i\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{C}$ in the orientable case, and by $\mathbf{C}_t \times \mathbf{C}_x / \sim$, in the non-orientable case, where $\sim$ is defined as above, now for complex t, x . If $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}_t \times \mathbf{C}_x$ is open and invariant under $(t, x) \mapsto (t, -x)$ and $(t, x) \mapsto (t - 2\pi, x)$, then we can view Ω as a subset of $\widetilde{M}$, and $H_{\Phi,S}^{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ is defined in Proposition 2.1.

As in [KaKe], we can define the Hilbert space $H(\Lambda)$ in the spirit of [HeSj], to be the space of $u \in H(\Lambda_\delta)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|(1 - \chi_2)T_{\text{HS}}u\|_{L^2(T^*\mathbf{R}^2, e^{-2H_\delta/h} dx d\xi)} + \|\chi_1(t, x)(T \otimes 1)U^{-1}u\|_{L^2(e^{-2\tilde{\Phi}} L(dx dt))},$$

where $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\widetilde{M})$ is equal to 1 in a neighborhood of $\text{Im } t = x = 0$, containing the region where $\tilde{\Phi}$ differs from Φ_δ , and $\chi_2 \in C_0(T^*\mathbf{R}^2)$ is equal to 1 near $\gamma(E_0)$ and is essentially the same function as χ_1 , after suitable identifications of domains. H_δ is the weight appearing in the definition ([HeSj]) of $H(\Lambda_\delta)$ (for which the corresponding norm would have been given by only the first term in the expression above, without the factor $1 - \chi_2$), and T_{HS} is the corresponding global FBI-transform. The resonances near E_0 are then the eigenvalues of P , viewed as an operator in $H(\Lambda)$, (with domain $H(\Lambda, m)$, where $m \geq 1$ is the order function associated to P , in the the most standard case, $m(x, \xi) = \langle \xi \rangle^2$).

If $u_\ell(x)$, $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ denote the normalized eigenfunctions of $\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + (hD)^2)$ with eigenvalues $(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h$, we have the ON system of eigenfunctions to $P^{(N)}(hD_t, \frac{1}{2i}(x^2 + (hD_x)^2); h)$:

$$v_{k,\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{i}{h}(kh - S/2\pi)t} u_\ell(x), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ell \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (2.21)$$

in the orientable case, and

$$v_{k,\ell} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{i}{h}((k+\frac{\ell}{2})h - S/2\pi)t} u_\ell(x), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ell \in \mathbf{N}, \quad (2.22)$$

in the non-orientable case with the corresponding eigenvalues:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(N)}(hk - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \frac{1}{i}(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h; h), \\ P^{(N)}(h(k + \frac{\ell}{2}) - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \frac{1}{i}(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h; h), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

in the orientable and non-orientable cases respectively. Notice that the eigenfunctions (2.21,22) are microlocally concentrated to the region in phase space, where $\tau \approx hk - S/2\pi$, $\frac{1}{2}(x^2 + \xi^2) \approx (\ell + \frac{1}{2})h$. (Such remarks were used in [Sj4].) Combining such arguments with the reduction above and some arguments of [MeSj] we get

Proposition 2.2. *Fix $\delta \in]0, 1[$ and $N \in \mathbf{N}$ with $h^{(N+1)\delta/2} \ll h$, i.e. with $(N+1)\delta/2 > 1$. Then the resonances of P in (1.1) in the rectangle $] -E_0 - \epsilon_0, E_0 + \epsilon_0[- i[0, h^\delta[$ are simple and given by*

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(N)}(hk - S/2\pi, \frac{1}{i}(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h; h) + \mathcal{O}(((\ell + \frac{1}{2})h)^{\frac{(N+1)}{2}}), \\ P^{(N)}(h(k + \frac{\ell}{2}) - S/2\pi, \frac{1}{i}(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h; h) + \mathcal{O}(((\ell + \frac{1}{2})h)^{\frac{(N+1)}{2}}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

respectively in the orientable and the non-orientable cases, for $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$, $\ell h = \mathcal{O}(h^\delta)$.

Now use the compatibility property (1.32) and let $\tilde{P}$ satisfy

$$\tilde{P}(\tau, \iota; h) = P^{(N)}(\tau, \iota; h) + \mathcal{O}((h, \iota^{1/2})^{N+1}) \quad (2.25)$$

for all N ,

$$\tilde{P}(\tau, \iota; h) \sim \tilde{p}(\tau, \iota) + h\tilde{p}_1(\tau, \iota) + \dots \quad (2.26)$$

Then Proposition 2.2 shows that the resonances in the rectangle $]E_0 - \epsilon_0, E_0 + \epsilon_0[- i[0, h^\delta[$ are simple and of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(hk - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \frac{1}{i}(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h; h) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ell \in \mathbf{N} \text{ (orientable case),} \\ \tilde{P}(h(k + \frac{\ell}{2}) - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \frac{1}{i}(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h; h) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ell \in \mathbf{N} \text{ (non-orientable case).} \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Next we study the eigenvalues z with $h^\delta < -\text{Im } z \leq \epsilon_1$ for some small $\epsilon_1 > 0$ independent of h . If $-\text{Im } z \sim \epsilon$, the eigenfunctions will be localized to a region with $|(x, \xi)| \sim \epsilon^{1/2}$, so we make the change of variables

$$x = \epsilon^{1/2} \tilde{x}, \quad hD_x = \epsilon^{1/2} \tilde{h}D_{\tilde{x}}, \quad \tilde{h} = \frac{h}{\epsilon}.$$

Then we also have $hD_t = \epsilon \tilde{h}D_t$, and P^w in (2.19) becomes

$$P = P^{(N)}(\epsilon \tilde{h}D_t, \epsilon \frac{1}{2i}(\tilde{x}^2 + (\tilde{h}D_{\tilde{x}})^2); h) + R_{N+1}(t, \epsilon \tilde{h}D_t, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{x}, \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{h}D_{\tilde{x}}; \epsilon \tilde{h}), \quad (2.28)$$

to be studied in the region where $|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})| \sim 1$. In this region, the symbol of the remainder term in (2.28) is $\mathcal{O}((\epsilon \tilde{h})^{N+1} + \epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{2}})$. (Recall that (2.19) describes the original operator acting in $H(\Lambda)$, viewed in a neighborhood of $\gamma(E_0)$. Correspondingly (2.19) is microlocally defined near $\tau = x = \xi$ in T^*M and acts on $L^2_S(M)$.)

Let

$$P^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma) h^j,$$

so that

$$P^{(N)}(\epsilon \tau, \epsilon \sigma; h) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j^{(N)}(\epsilon \tau, \epsilon \sigma) \epsilon^j \tilde{h}^j = \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} p_0^{(N)}(\epsilon \tau, \epsilon \sigma) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j^{(N)}(\epsilon \tau, \epsilon \sigma) \epsilon^{j-1} \tilde{h}^j \right).$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} (p_0^{(N)}(\epsilon \tau, \epsilon \sigma) - E_0) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} (f(\epsilon \tau) - E_0) + \frac{1}{i} \mu(\epsilon \tau) \sigma + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

Notice that

$$\Lambda_{E,F}^0 := \{(t, \tau, x, \xi) \in T^*M; \frac{1}{\epsilon} (f(\epsilon \tau) - E_0) = E, \mu(\epsilon \tau) \sigma = -F\}$$

is a family of Lagrangian torii for $E, F - 1 \in \text{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R})$ which form an analytic foliation of phase-space and depend analytically on $\epsilon \in \text{neigh}(0, \mathbf{R})$. This means that the $\tilde{h}$ -pseudodifferential operator (2.28) fullfills the assumptions of [MeSj] (with the slight difference that we are now on a 2-dimensional analytic manifold M , rather than $\mathbf{R}^2$). The geometric main result of [MeSj] tells us that there is a corresponding foliation of $T^*\tilde{M}$ into complex Lagrangian torii, $\Lambda_{E,F}$, for $E, F \in \text{neigh}(0, \mathbf{C})$, which is ϵ -close to the complexification of the family $\Lambda_{E,F}^0$, and such that $\frac{1}{\epsilon} (p_0 - E_0)$ is constant $= E + iF + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ on each $\Lambda_{E,F}$, where p_0 denotes the principal symbol of the operator (2.28).

The corresponding spectral result of [MeSj] is applicable also (with some simple and straight forward modifications in the definition of a certain global Grushin problem for the original operator P acting on $H(\Lambda)$ in the proof). We conclude that (the original

operator) P has the resonances $g(k\tilde{h} - \frac{S}{2\pi\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{h}, \epsilon; \tilde{h})$ in the orientable case and $g((k + \frac{\ell}{2})\tilde{h} - \frac{S}{2\pi\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{h}, \epsilon; \tilde{h})$ in the non-orientable case, where

$$g(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon; \tilde{h}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon) \tilde{h}^j. \quad (2.29)$$

(Notice here that we can transform $\frac{1}{2i}(\tilde{x}^2 + (\tilde{h}D_{\tilde{x}})^2)$, into $\frac{1}{2}(x\tilde{h}D_x + \tilde{h}D_x x)$ by standard Bargman transform, and that the last operator becomes $\frac{1}{i}h(D_s + \frac{1}{2})$, if we make the change of variables $x = e^{is}$.)

The corresponding eigenvalues of $P^{(N)}(\epsilon\tilde{h}D_t, \frac{\epsilon}{2i}\tilde{h}(D_s + \frac{1}{2}); h)$ are $g^{(N)}(k\tilde{h} - \frac{S}{2\pi\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{h}, \epsilon; \tilde{h}) + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ (in the orientable case and with the usual modification in the non-orientable case), with

$$g^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon; \tilde{h}) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon) \tilde{h}^j, \quad (2.30)$$

given by $g^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon; \tilde{h}) = P^{(N)}(\epsilon\tau, \epsilon\sigma; \epsilon\tilde{h})$. Because of the estimate on R_{N+1} , we know from the proof in [MeSj] that

$$g_j(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon) - g_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{\frac{N+1}{2}}), \text{ for } j \leq N. \quad (2.31)$$

Writing

$$P^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma; h) = \sum p_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma) h^j,$$

we see that

$$g_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon) = p_j^{(N)}(\epsilon\tau, \epsilon\sigma) \epsilon^j. \quad (2.32)$$

The choice of ϵ is not unique. Substituting $(\epsilon, \tilde{h}) \mapsto (\mu\epsilon, \tilde{h}/\mu)$, with $\mu \sim 1$, will not affect the eigenvalues of (2.28) (which is only a rewriting of the ϵ -independent operator (2.19)), so

$$g(k\frac{\tilde{h}}{\mu} - \frac{S}{2\pi\mu\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\tilde{h}}{\mu}, \mu\epsilon; \frac{\tilde{h}}{\mu}) = g(k\tilde{h} - \frac{S}{2\pi\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{h}, \epsilon; \tilde{h}),$$

(with the usual modification in the non-orientable case) and as in section 7 of [MeSj], we conclude that

$$g_j(\frac{\tau}{\mu}, \frac{\sigma}{\mu}, \mu\epsilon) = \mu^j g_j(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon). \quad (2.33)$$

The same relation holds for $g_j^{(N)}$, as can also be seen directly from (2.32).

Now recall that $g_j(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon)$ is defined for $\tau = \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$, $\sigma \sim 1$. Using (2.33), we define $g_j(\tau, \sigma, 1)$ by

$$g_j(\tau, \sigma, 1) = \mu^{-j} g_j(\frac{\tau}{\mu}, \frac{\sigma}{\mu}, \mu), \quad (2.34)$$

when $0 < \sigma \ll 1$, $\tau = \mathcal{O}(1)$, by taking $\mu \sim \sigma$. Similarly, we have $g_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma, 1) = p_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma)$, which is analytic in $\tau = \mathcal{O}(1)$, $0 \leq \sigma \ll 1$. (2.31) implies that

$$g_j(\tau, \sigma, 1) = p_j^{(N)}(\tau, \sigma) + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{\frac{N+1}{2}-j}), \quad j \leq N. \quad (2.35)$$

Using that we have estimates of the type (2.31) also for the derivatives, we conclude that $g_j(\tau, \sigma, 1)$ is smooth down to $\sigma = 0$.

The formula for the resonances of P prior to (2.29) together with (2.29) shows that we have the resonances:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j\left(\frac{kh}{\epsilon} - \frac{S}{2\pi\epsilon}, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right) \epsilon^{-j} h^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j\left(kh - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)h, 1\right) h^j, \quad (2.36)$$

where $\epsilon \geq h^\delta$, ℓ, k are chosen so that $(\ell + \frac{1}{2})h/\epsilon \sim 1$ and $kh - S/2\pi = \mathcal{O}(1)$.

We get, using also Proposition 2.2:

Theorem 2.3. *Same assumptions as in Proposition 1.1. The resonances of the operator P in (1.1) in the rectangle $]E_0 - \epsilon_0, E_0 + \epsilon_0[-i[0, \epsilon_1[$, for $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1 > 1$ sufficiently small, are simple and labelled by the two quantum numbers $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\ell \in \mathbf{N}$, and they are of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j\left(kh - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)h\right) h^j \text{ in the orientable case,} \\ & \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j\left(\left(k + \frac{\ell}{2}\right)h - \frac{S}{2\pi}, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)h\right) h^j \text{ in the non-orientable case,} \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Here $g_0(\tau, \sigma) = f(\tau) - i\mu(\tau)\sigma + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$, where f, μ are real, $f'(\tau) > 0$, $\mu > 0$. Recall that we are in the orientable case when the two eigenvalues of the Poincaré map of $\gamma(E_0)$ are positive and that we are in the non-orientable case when they are negative.

3. Saddle point resonances.

Let

$$P = -h^2 \Delta + V(x), \quad p(x, \xi) = \xi^2 + V(x), \quad x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where V is analytic with

$$V(0) = E_0, \quad V'(0) = 0, \quad \text{sgn } V''(0) = (1, 1), \quad (3.2)$$

so that $V''(0)$ is non-degenerate and has one eigenvalue of each sign. Assume that the general assumptions of [HeSj] are fulfilled so that we can define the resonances in a fixed neighborhood of E_0 , when $h > 0$ is small enough. Also assume that the union of trapped trajectories in $p^{-1}(E_0)$ is just the point $(0, 0)$. Under these assumptions a result of [KaKe] gives all resonances in $D(E_0, h^\delta)$ for any fixed $\delta > 0$. In [MeSj], section

7, we got all resonances in a disc $D(E_0, r_0)$ for some small but fixed r_0 , outside small conical neighborhoods of $]0, \infty[$ and $-i]0, \infty[$. In this section we show how to get the resonances also in such neighborhoods.

After a linear change of x -coordinates (and the corresponding dual change in ξ), we may assume that

$$p(x, \xi) = E_0 + p_0(x, \xi) + p_1(x, \xi) + \dots \quad (3.3)$$

near $(x, \xi) = (0, 0)$, where $p_j(x, \xi)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2 + j$ and

$$p_0(x, \xi) = \frac{\lambda_1}{2}(\xi_1^2 - x_1^2) + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}(\xi_2^2 + x_2^2), \quad \lambda_j > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

(Actually, $p_1, p_2, \dots$ are independent of ξ .)

[KaKe] showed how to adapt the [HeSj]-theory and realize P as acting in $H(\Lambda)$ -spaces, where $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{C}^4$ is an IR-manifold which coincides with $T^*(e^{i\pi/4}\mathbf{R}_{x_1} \oplus \mathbf{R}_{x_2})$ near $(0, 0)$ and has the property that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $(x, \xi) \in \Lambda$, $\text{dist}((x, \xi), (0, 0)) > \epsilon \Rightarrow |p(x, \xi) - E_0| > \delta$.

This means essentially (modulo an argument using a Grushin reduction as in [MeSj]) that the study of the resonances of P near E_0 can be viewed as an eigenvalue problem for P after the complex scaling $x_1 = e^{i\pi/4}\tilde{x}_1$, $\tilde{x}_1 \in \mathbf{R}$.

The principal symbol of the scaled operator becomes after writing x_1 instead of $\tilde{x}_1$:

$$\tilde{p}(x, \xi) = p(e^{i\pi/4}x_1, x_2, e^{-i\pi/4}\xi_1, \xi_2) = E_0 + p_0 + p_1 + \dots, \quad (3.5)$$

where the new p_0 is given by

$$p_0(x, \xi) = \frac{\lambda_1}{2i}(\xi_1^2 + x_1^2) + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}(\xi_2^2 + x_2^2) \quad (3.6)$$

and can be identified with the restriction of the old p_0 to Λ . p_0 takes its values in the quarter plane $\text{Re } E \geq 0, \text{Im } E \leq 0$. $p_0^{-1}(E) \cap \mathbf{R}^4$ is a Lagrangian torus when $\text{Re } E > 0, \text{Im } E < 0$ and degenerates into a closed H_{p_0} -trajectory, when $\text{Re } E$ or $\text{Im } E$ vanishes. The contributions from these degenerate regions were precisely the ones we did not study in [MeSj].

More explicitly, we have the closed trajectory

$$\gamma_0(r_2\lambda_2) : \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 + \xi_2^2) = r_2, \quad x_1 = \xi_1 = 0,$$

for $r_2 > 0$, of period $2\pi/\lambda_2$ and energy $r_2\lambda_2$, and the closed trajectory

$$\gamma_0\left(\frac{r_1\lambda_1}{i}\right) : \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + \xi_1^2) = r_1, \quad x_2 = \xi_2 = 0,$$

for $r_1 > 0$, of period $2\pi i/\lambda_1$ and energy $r_1\lambda_1/i$. We consider $\gamma_0(\dots)$ as a real curve with the time parameter varying on the segment $[0, T(\gamma_0(\dots))]$, where $T(\gamma_0(\dots))$ is

the (complex) period of $\gamma_0(\dots)$. The corresponding Poincaré maps are non-degenerate and of hyperbolic type. This implies that for $E \in \mathbf{C}$, close to $r_2\lambda_2$ or $r_1\lambda_1/i$, the energy surface $p_0^{-1}(E)$ contains a unique closed trajectory $\gamma_0(E)$ close to $\gamma_0(r_2\lambda_2)$ or $\gamma_0(r_1\lambda_1/i)$ and of period close to $2\pi/\lambda_2$ or $r_1\lambda_1/i$, but even when E belongs to the "allowed" quadrant $\operatorname{Re} E > 0$, $\operatorname{Im} E < 0$, $\gamma_0(E)$ will in general not be real. Indeed, the action of $\gamma(E)$ will be real precisely when $E = r_2\lambda_2$ or $E = r_1\lambda_1/i$ for $r_j > 0$. (Recall that the derivative of the action with respect to the energy is equal to the period.)

Consider next the full symbol $p = \tilde{p}$ in (3.5), for energies E with $|E - E_0| \sim \epsilon$, $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. After the change of variables $(x, \xi) = \epsilon^{1/2}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})$, we get (dropping the tildes):

$$\frac{p(\epsilon^{1/2}(x, \xi)) - E_0}{\epsilon} = p_0 + \epsilon^{1/2}p_1 + \dots = p_0 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2}) =: p(x, \xi, \epsilon), \quad (3.7)$$

to be considered in a region with $|(x, \xi)| \sim 1$. With $E = E_0 + \epsilon F$, $|F| \sim 1$, we have that $p^{-1}(E)$ corresponds to $p(\cdot, \epsilon)^{-1}(F)$. Now for F close to $r_2\lambda_2$ or to $r_1\lambda_1/i$, we see that $p(\cdot, \epsilon)^{-1}(F)$ contains a closed trajectory $\gamma(F) = \gamma(F, \epsilon)$, close to $\gamma_0(r_2\lambda_2)$ or to $\gamma_0(r_1\lambda_1/i)$. $\gamma(F)$ is an $\epsilon^{1/2}$ -perturbation of $\gamma_0(F)$, and we have two curves c_j , $j = 1, 2$, in the F -plane at distance $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ from $\mathbf{R}_+$ and $\frac{1}{i}\mathbf{R}_+$ along which the action of $\gamma(F)$ is real. Let Γ_2, Γ_1 be the corresponding unions of $\gamma(F)$'s. Then Γ_j are of real dimension 2.

Lemma 3.1. *We can find smooth IR-manifolds Λ_1, Λ_2 which are $\epsilon^{1/2}$ -perturbations of Λ , with $\Gamma_j \subset \Lambda_j$.*

Proof. Fix an index $j = 1$ or 2 . It is easy to see that $\Gamma = \Gamma_j$ is totally real and that the corresponding complexification is $\tilde{\Gamma} = \bigcup_{E \in \operatorname{neigh}(c_j, \mathbf{C})} \gamma(E)$. $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a symplectic manifold with complex symplectic coordinates given by $t(\rho), p(\rho)$, for $\rho \in \tilde{\Gamma}$, where $t(\rho)$ is defined by $\rho = \exp(t(\rho)H_p)(\omega(\rho))$, where $\omega(\rho)$ belongs to some 1-dimensional "initial" manifold $W \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$ which is transversal to the H_p direction. (In order to fix the ideas, we take W to be the intersection of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with $x_1 = \xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0$, $\operatorname{Re} x_2 > 0$ for $j = 2$, and with $\xi_1 = x_2 = \xi_2 = 0$, $\operatorname{Re} x_1 > 0$, for $j = 1$.) Notice that t will be multivalued. Thus $\sigma|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = dp \wedge dt$, if σ denotes the complex symplectic form. On $\tilde{\Gamma}$, the action S and period T can be viewed as functions of p , and a second set of symplectic coordinates on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is $\frac{t}{T(p)}, S(p)$. Indeed,

$$dS(p) \wedge d\frac{t}{T(p)} = S'(p)dp \wedge \frac{dt}{T(p)} - \frac{tS'(p)dp}{T(p)^2} \wedge dT(p) = dp \wedge dt,$$

since $S'(p) = T(p)$. On Γ both $t/T(p)$ and $S(p)$ are real, so we see that Γ is a *real* symplectic manifold.

After applying a complex canonical transformation, we can assume that Λ is given by $\xi = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}$, $x \in \mathbf{C}^2$, where Φ_0 is a real strictly plurisubharmonic quadratic form. (We only consider the part of Λ which is linear.) Then $\pi_x(\Gamma)$ is of real dimension 2 and topologically this set is a circle. Γ is of the form $\xi = G(x)$, $x \in \pi_x(\Gamma)$, where

$G = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial x}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$. We look for a real and smooth function $\Phi(x)$, such that $G(x) = \frac{2}{i} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}$ on $\pi_x(\Gamma)$. If we had such a function, then on $\pi_x(\Gamma)$, we would get

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x) = \frac{i}{2} G(x), \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \bar{x}} = -\frac{i}{2} \overline{G(x)}, \quad d\Phi(x) = \frac{i}{2} (G(x)dx - \overline{G(x)}d\bar{x}),$$

and $d\Phi|_{\Gamma} \simeq \frac{i}{2}(\xi dx - \overline{\xi} d\bar{x})|_{\Gamma} = -\text{Im} \xi dx|_{\Gamma}$. The differential of the last expression is 0, since Γ is real symplectic. Hence we can find Φ locally. Let $\pi_x(\gamma)$ be the projection of one of the closed orbits, γ , that constitute Γ . Then for the possibly multivalued function Φ , we have $\int_{\pi_x(\gamma)} d\Phi = -\text{Im} \int_{\gamma} \xi dx = 0$, since the actions are real. Hence we can find Φ globally and the lemma follows. $\#$

Γ_2 will be real in the original coordinates and even a union of hyperbolic trajectories. This fact will not be used explicitly since we want to treat the case of Γ_1 at the same time. Fix $j = 1$ for a maximum of generality. Since

$$p(x, \xi, \epsilon) = \frac{\lambda_1}{2i}(x_1^2 + \xi_1^2) + \frac{\lambda_2}{2}(x_2^2 + \xi_2^2) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2}),$$

we see that the complexification $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ is of the form

$$(x_2, \xi_2) = f_{\epsilon}(x_1, \xi_1) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2}), \quad |x_1| + |\xi_1| \sim 1.$$

The corresponding real Γ_1 is an $\epsilon^{1/2}$ -perturbation of (a neighborhood of S^1 in) $\mathbf{R}_{x_1, \xi_1}^2$. Recall that Γ_1 is a union of trajectories of close to imaginary periods. Write

$$p = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}(x_1^2 + \xi_1^2) - \frac{\lambda_2}{2i}(x_2^2 + \xi_2^2) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2}).$$

After a complex canonical transformation in x_2, ξ_2 , we can write

$$p = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}(x_1^2 + \xi_1^2) - \lambda_2 x_2 \xi_2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2}),$$

where Λ now is given by: $x_1, \xi_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, $\xi_2 = \frac{1}{i} \overline{x_2}$.

Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_+$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_-$ be the complex incoming and outgoing hypersurfaces for the flow of iH_p , that contain $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Then $\xi_2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ on Γ_+ , and $x_2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}_-$. We can choose symplectic coordinates as in section 1 (but now in the complex domain). First choose t, τ on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with t, τ real on Γ , such that $\sigma|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = d\tau \wedge dt$, ext $t = t + 2\pi$ and $\tau = \tau(p)$. (They are essentially the action angle coordinates " $t/T, S$ " in the proof of the Lemma.) Then choose a holomorphic function ξ with $\xi = \xi_2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$, such that $\xi|_{\Gamma_+} = 0$. (We are now in the orientable case, ξ will be single-valued.) Then, let $x = x_2 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ solve $H_{\xi}x = 1$, $x|_{\Gamma_-} = 0$, and finally extend t, τ to a full neighborhood of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as solutions of

$$H_x t = H_{\xi} t = 0, \quad H_x \tau = H_{\xi} \tau = 0.$$

Then (t, τ, x, ξ) are symplectic coordinates, and

$$ip(t, \tau, x, \xi, \epsilon) = f_\epsilon(\tau) - \tilde{\mu}_\epsilon(t, \tau, x, \xi)x\xi, \quad (3.8)$$

where $f_\epsilon = f_0 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$, $\tilde{\mu}_\epsilon = \mu_0(\tau, x, \xi) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$, and f_0, μ_0 have the same properties as f, μ in section 1. As in that section, we can make $\tilde{\mu}_\epsilon$ independent of t (up to arbitrarily high order in (x, ξ)), after composition with a canonical transformation close to the identity. The IR-manifold of Lemma 3.1 can be taken to be

$$t \in S^1, \tau \in]\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}[, \xi = \frac{1}{i}\bar{x}, x \in \mathbf{C}, |x| \leq 1/C. \quad (3.9)$$

In order to apply Theorem 2.3, we consider $\epsilon^{-1}(P(x, hD_x; h) - E_0)$ with leading symbol (3.7) and write $x = \epsilon^{1/2}\tilde{x}$, $hD_x = \tilde{h}D_{\tilde{x}}$, $\tilde{h} = h/\epsilon$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon}(P(x, hD_x; h) - E_0) = \tilde{P}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{h}D_{\tilde{x}}, \epsilon; h). \quad (3.10)$$

It is now clear that the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 applies and we get (in the case $j = 1$):

Proposition 3.2. *For ϵ and h/ϵ small enough, the resonances of P in a rectangle $]E_0 - \frac{\epsilon}{C}, E_0 + \frac{\epsilon}{C}[-i]\frac{\epsilon}{2}, 2\epsilon[$ are simple and of the form*

$$\sim E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(k\frac{h}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \epsilon)(\frac{h}{\epsilon})^j, \quad (3.11)$$

labelled by two quantum numbers $k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ell \in \mathbf{N}$, where p_j is smooth in $\tau, \sigma, \epsilon^{1/2}$ in a neighborhood of $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \times [0, \infty[$,

$$p_0(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon) = \epsilon(-if(\tau) + \mu(\tau)\sigma + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2} + \sigma^2)).$$

Here f, μ are real, $f'(\tau) > 0$, $\mu > 0$.

Here we have chosen $\tau = 0$ to correspond to the closed trajectory of action π . Replace $p_j(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon)$ by $p_j(\tau + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma, \epsilon)$, so that (3.11) becomes

$$\sim E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(k\frac{h}{\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \epsilon)(\frac{h}{\epsilon})^j,$$

and after modification of p_j , $j \geq 1$:

$$\sim E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j((k + \frac{1}{2})\frac{h}{\epsilon}, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \epsilon)(\frac{h}{\epsilon})^j. \quad (3.12)$$

Use again that the eigenvalues are independent of $\mu \sim 1$, if we replace ϵ by $\mu\epsilon$ and conclude:

$$p_j\left(\frac{\tau}{\mu}, \frac{\sigma}{\mu}, \mu\epsilon\right)\mu^{-j} = p_j(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon). \quad (3.13)$$

Using this, we define

$$p_j(\tau, \sigma, 1) = p_j\left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}, \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right)\epsilon^{-j}, \quad (3.14)$$

for $|(\tau, \sigma)| \sim \epsilon$ and (τ, σ) in the rectangle of the proposition. Then (3.12) becomes

$$\sim E_0 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)h, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)h, 1\right)h^j. \quad (3.15)$$

We finally connect this to the results of [KaKe]. Start again with the operator P after the complex scaling $x_1 = e^{i\pi/4}\tilde{x}_1$, $\tilde{x}_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R}$. We have the qBnf (with $\simeq$ indicating equivalence by conjugation by an elliptic Fourier integral operator)

$$P \simeq P^{(N)}\left(\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + (hD_{x_1})^2), \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 + (hD_{x_2})^2); h\right) + R_{N+1}(x, hD_x; h), \quad (3.16)$$

where $R_{N+1}(x, \xi; h) = \mathcal{O}((h, x, \xi)^{N+1})$.

Recall that we have the resonances of P :

$$E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right)\left(\frac{h}{\epsilon}\right)^j. \quad (3.17)$$

From (3.16) and the method of obtaining (3.17), we see that $p_j = p_j^{(2N)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{N+1})$, $j \leq N$, where

$$E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j^{(2N)}\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{h}{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right)\left(\frac{h}{\epsilon}\right)^j. \quad (3.18)$$

are the corresponding eigenvalues of $P^{(2N)}$. The $p_j^{(2N)}$ also satisfy (3.13).

On the other hand, we can apply the form of $P^{(2N)}$ more directly, to see that $P^{(2N)}$ has the eigenvalues

$$E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j^{(2N)}\left(\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)h, \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)h, 1\right)h^j,$$

where

$$P^{(2N)}(\tau, \sigma; h) \sim \sum_0^{\infty} p_j^{(2N)}(\tau, \sigma, 1)h^j,$$

so $p_j^{(2N)}(\tau, \sigma, 1)$ is smooth in $D(0, \epsilon_0) \cap [0, \infty]^2$. For $\epsilon \sim |(\tau, \sigma)|$ and $j \leq N$, we have

$$p_j(\tau, \sigma, 1) = p_j\left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}, \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right) = p_j^{(2N)}\left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}, \frac{\sigma}{\epsilon}, \epsilon\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{N+1}) = p_j^{(2N)}(\tau, \sigma, 1) + \mathcal{O}((\tau, \sigma)^{N+1}),$$

and using also that similar relations hold for the derivatives, we see that $p_j(\tau, \sigma, 1)$ are smooth in $D(0, \epsilon_0) \cap [0, \infty[^2$.

Theorem 3.3. *The resonances of P in $D(E_0, \epsilon_0)$ are simple, labelled by $k, \ell \in \mathbf{N}$, and of the form*

$$E_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j((k + \frac{1}{2})h, (\ell + \frac{1}{2})h, 1)h^j, \quad (3.19)$$

where $p_j(\tau, \sigma, 1) \in C^\infty(D(0, \epsilon_0) \cap [0, \infty[^2)$.

References.

- [GeSj] C. Gérard, J. Sjöstrand, *Semiclassical resonances generated by a closed trajectory of hyperbolic type*, Comm. Math. Phys., 108(1987), 391-421.
- [HeSj] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand, *Résonances en limite semiclassique*, Bull. de la SMF 114(3), Mémoire 24/25(1986)
- [Ia] A. Iantchenko, *La forme normale de Birkhoff pour un opérateur intégral de Fourier*, Asymptotic Analysis, 17(1)(1998), 71–92.
- [IaSj] A. Iantchenko, J. Sjöstrand, *Birkhoff normal forms for Fourier integral operators II*, Preprint Nov 2001, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/ps/math.SP/0111134>, Amer. J. Math., to appear.
- [KaKe] N. Kaidi, Ph. Kerdelhué, *Forme normale de Birkhoff et résonances*, Asympt. Anal. 23(2000), 1–21.
- [MeSj] A. Melin, J. Sjöstrand, *Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition for non-selfadjoint operators in dimension 2*. Preprint juin 2001, <http://xxx.lanl.gov/ps/math.SP/0111293>
- [Sj] J. Sjöstrand, *Semiclassical resonances generated by a non-degenerate critical point*, Springer LNM, 1256, 402-429.
- [Sj2] J. Sjöstrand, *Geometric bounds on the density of resonances for semiclassical problems*, Duke Mathematical Journal, 60(1)(1990), 1-57.
- [Sj3] J. Sjöstrand, *Singularités analytiques microlocales*, Astérisque, 95(1982).
- [Sj4] J. Sjöstrand, *Semi-excited states in non-degenerate potential wells*, Asymptotic Analysis, 6(1992), 29-43.
- [SjZw] J. Sjöstrand, M. Zworski, *Quantum monodromy and semi-classical trace formulae*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 81(2002), 1–33.